The field axioms

Closure of F under addition and multiplication

For all *a*, *b* in *F*, both a + b and $a \cdot b$ are in *F* (or more formally, + and \cdot are binary operations on *F*).

Associativity of addition and multiplication

For all *a*, *b*, and *c* in *F*, the following equalities hold: a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c and $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$.

Commutativity of addition and multiplication

For all *a* and *b* in *F*, the following equalities hold: a + b = b + a and $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$.

Existence of additive and multiplicative identity elements

There exists an element of *F*, called the *additive identity* element and denoted by 0, such that for all *a* in *F*, a + 0 = a. Likewise, there is an element, called the *multiplicative identity* element and denoted by 1, such that for all *a* in *F*, $a \cdot 1 = a$. To exclude the trivial ring, the additive identity and the multiplicative identity are required to be distinct.

Existence of additive inverses and multiplicative inverses

For every *a* in *F*, there exists an element -a in *F*, such that a + (-a) = 0. Similarly, for any *a* in *F* other than 0, there exists an element a^{-1} in *F*, such that $a \cdot a^{-1} = 1$. (The elements a + (-b) and $a \cdot b^{-1}$ are also denoted a - b and a/b, respectively.) In other words, *subtraction* and *division* operations exist.

Distributivity of multiplication over addition

For all *a*, *b* and *c* in *F*, the following equality holds: $a \cdot (b + c) = (a \cdot b) + (a \cdot c)$.

Proposition 1.14 from Rudin. The axioms for addition imply the following statements.

- (a) If x + y = x + z then y = z (cancellation)
- (b) If x + y = x then y = 0 (uniqueness of the additive identity)
- (c) If x + y = 0 then y = -x (uniqueness of the additive inverse)
- (d) -(-x) = x (double negation)

Proof. If x + y = x + z, the axioms for addition give

y = 0 + y = (-x + x) + y = -x + (x + y) = -x + (x + z) = (-x + x) + z = 0 + z = z.

This proves (a). Take z = 0 in (a) to obtain (b). Take z = -x in (a) to obtain (c). Since -x + x = 0, (c) (with -x in place of x) gives (d).

Proposition 1.15 from Rudin. The axioms for multiplication imply the following statements.

- (a) If $x \neq 0$ and xy = xz then y = z (cancellation)
- (b) If $x \neq 0$ and xy = x then y = 1 (uniqueness of the multiplicative identity)
- (c) If $x \neq 0$ and xy = 1 then y = 1/x (uniqueness of the multiplicative inverse)
- (d) If $x \neq 0$ then 1/(1/x) = x.

Proposition 1.16 from Rudin. The field axioms imply the following statements, for any x, y, and $z \in F$.

- (a) 0x = 0.
- (b) If $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$ then $xy \neq 0$.
- (c) (-x)y = -(xy) = x(-y).
- (d) (-x)(-y) = xy

Proof. 0x + 0x = (0 + 0)x = 0x. Hence 1.14(b) implies that 0x = 0, and (a) holds. Next, assume $x \neq 0$, $y \neq 0$, but xy = 0. Then (a) gives

$$1 = \left(\frac{1}{y}\right) \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) xy = \left(\frac{1}{y}\right) \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) 0 = 0$$

a contradiction. Thus (b) holds. The first equality in (c) comes from

$$(-x)y + xy = (-x + x)y = 0y = 0,$$

combined with 1.14(c); the other half of (c) is proved in the same way. Finally,

$$(-x)(-y) = -[x(-y)] = -[-(xy)] = xy$$

by (c) and 1.14(d).■